

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/006,795	Applicant(s) CHUGG ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Ko-Fang Chan.

Date of Interview: 9/28/05

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 4 and 6 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
---	--